### PATENT COOPERATION TAXATY

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

То:					PCT		
ASTRAZENECA	CODE DATE		ТИ	Þ			
Global Intellectual Property Mereside, Alderley Park Macclesfield					NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT		
Cheshire SK10 4TG GRANDE BRETAGNE	ANKOM 26 NOV 2004		GIPS	(207.2.1.4)			
DATA ENTERED FINAL			11	Date of mailing (cay/month/year) 23.11.2004			
Applicant's or agent's file referend CHECK 100756-1 WO					IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION		
International application No. PCT/GB 03/02959	1	International filing date (di 09.07.2003			nonthlyear) Priority date (day/monthlyear) 13.07.2002		
Applicant ASTRAZENECA AB							

- The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith tl international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international 10 204 application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation c the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

#### 4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of th PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:



**European Patent Office** D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

**Authorized Officer** 

Siefert, A

Tel. +49 89 2399-2469





### PATENT COOPERATION T



### **PCT**

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or age		FOR FURTHER AC	TION See No Prelimin	otification of Transmitt nary Examination Re	port (Form PC)	MPEA416)		
		International filing date (c	International filing date (day/month/year)			Priority date (day/month/year)		
International application No. International filing PCT/GB 03/02959 09.07.2003					13.07.2002			
			-d IBC	CODE	DATE	NTD		
nternational Pate A61K31/445	nt Classification (IPC) o	r both national classification a	IO IPC					
A - nllcont				ANKOM 2	6 NOV 201	J4 GIPS		
Applicant ASTRAZENE(	CA AB			DATA				
				ENTERED				
1. This interi Authority	national preliminary e and is transmitted to	xamination report has been the applicant according to	n prepared by t Article 36.	this International Pr	reliminary Exa	amining		
		al of 6 sheets, including th						
		npanied by ANNEXES, i.e. the basis for this report and stron 607 of the Administrati			and <i>l</i> or drawin s made before	gs which have this Author		
These an	nexes consist of a to	tal of sheets.						
	rt contains indication  Basis of the opinion	s relating to the following It	ems:					
, M		••		•				
	Priority  Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability							
🛛								
V ⊠	Lack of unity of in Reasoned statementations and expla	ntion t under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicabil ations supporting such statement						
VI   Certain documents cit								
		the international application						
VIII 🗆	Certain observation	ns on the international app	lication					
Date of submiss	on of the demand		Date of comp	letion of this report				
20.01.2004			23.11.2004	1				
Name and malling preliminary examples	ng address of the internation	ational	Authorized O	fficer		- Sandarana		
E	uropean Patent Office -80298 Munich el. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx:	523656 eomu d	Gavriliu, D					
	81, +49 89 2399 - 0 1X. ax: +49 89 2399 - 4465		Telephone N	o. +49 89 2399-8274	•	" Spice line		

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/GB 03/02959

1.	Basis	of the	report
----	-------	--------	--------

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to In this report as "originally file and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Desc	cription, Pages					
	1-80		as originally filed				
		4					
	Clair	ms, Numbers					
	1-13		as originally filed				
2.	With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
	The	se elements were ava	ilable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:				
		the language of a tra	nslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).				
		the language of publi	cation of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).				
the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3).							
3.	With	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the nternational preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:					
			national application in written form.				
		filed together with the	e international application in computer readable form.				
		the Arabic Arabic in smitten form					
		furnished subsequer	tly to this Authority in computer readable form.				
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclo in the international application as filed has been furnished.					
		ne information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequer ished.	า				
4.	The	amendments have re	esulted in the cancellation of:				
		the description,	pages:				
		the claims,	Nos.:				
		the drawings,	sheets:				
5.	. 🗆	been considered to	n established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).				
		(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to report.)					
6	. Add	ditional observations,	if necessary:				

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/GB 03/02959

11).	Nor	n-establishment of opinion wi	th rega	ard to novel	ty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
<ol> <li>The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be not obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:</li> </ol>					to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- examined in respect of:				
		the entire international applicat	ion,						
	Ø	claims Nos. 11(with respect to	indust	industrial applicability)					
		because:							
		the said international application not require an international pre	on, or t dimina	he said claim ry examinatio	ns Nos. relate to the following subject matter which doesno (specify):				
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so und that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):								
the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful could be formed.									
	□ no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos.								
2.	A meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide or amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions:								
	the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.								
		the computer readable form ha	as not	been furnish	ed or does not comply with the Standard.				
۷.	Re-	asoned statement under Artic ations and explanations supp	le 35(2 orting	2) with regar such stater	rd to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicabi nent				
1. Statement				•					
	No	velty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-10,12-13				
	Inv	entive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-10,12-13				
	Ind	lustrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-10,12-13				
2	Cit	ations and explanations							

see separate sheet

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/GB 03/02959 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

#### Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Claims 11 relates to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provision of Rule 67.1(iv)PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability of the subject-matter of this claim(article 34(4)(a)(i)PCT).

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

#### 1. Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: WO-A-0012477 D2: WO-A-0012478 D3: WO-A-0044723 D4: WO-A-9965867

### 2. Novelty (Article 33(1) and 33(2)PCT):

The subject-matter of the present application relates to N-sulfonylpiperidines, useful as inhibitors of metalloproteinase.

The present compounds differ from the compounds disclosed by D1 through the substituents R3 and R4 (none of them can be a benzyl moiety - see present Claim 1 and description-page 3-line 19-page 5-line 7 as well as example 6-D1) and from the compounds disclosed by D2 on account of the provisio (see present Claim 1 and example 14-D2). The compounds disclosed by D3 are double substituted on the position 4 of the piperidine ring (see examples D3 and the present Claim 1). The compounds disclosed by D4 differ from the present ones through the N-substituent of the piperidine ring. Consequently, the novelty of the present subject-matter is acknowledged.

### 3. Inventive step (Article 33(1) and (3) PCT).

The present application describes N-sulfonylpiperidines, bearing on the sulfonyl

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/GB 03/02959 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

chain a -CONOHR<sup>15</sup> or -N(OH)CHO moiety and in position 4 a ring (B) linked through a X chain. The present compounds are useful in the manufacture of a medicament in the treatment of a disease condition mediated by one or more metalloproteinase enzymes.

D1, which is regarded as being the closest prior art, discloses N-sulfonyl-piperidines as metalloproteinase enzymes inhibitors. Example 6 (page 22-D1) differs only through the substituent benzyl (corresponding to the present R3) from the present compounds.

The technical problem underlying the present application is to be seen in a provision of further N-sulfonylpiperidine derivatives useful as metalloproteinase inhibitors.

Document D2 discloses 4-aryloxypiperidines as metalloproteinase agents. The compounds disclosed in example 14 of D2 (pages 50-51) differ from the present claimed compounds only on the account of the provisio (in the present case the ring B is linked on the piperidine ring through a -( $CR^9R^{10}$ )<sub>t</sub>-O-( $CR^{11}R^{12}$ )<sub>u</sub>- or - ( $CR^9R^{10}$ )<sub>t</sub>-SO<sub>0-2</sub>-( $CR^{11}R^{12}$ )<sub>u</sub>- chain, without the possibility that t+u=0, as in D2).

Documents D3-D4 disclose metalloproteinase inhibitors which contain a piperidine ring substituted in position 4 either with a (hetero)arylmethoxy moiety (D4-tables 8-13) or with an arylmethylene(sulfinyl or sulfonyl) moiety (D3-e.g. example 30-49).

Since, only very minor modifications of the compounds disclosed by D1-D2 are required in order to arrive at the claimed derivatives and moreover the substitution of the piperidine ring in position 4 with an arylmethoxy or arylmethylensulfonyl moiety was already disclosed by D3-D4, the person skilled in the art would expect that the same qualitative effect would be maintained in such similar compounds.

The problem underlying the present application thus appears to lie in the provision of further N-sulfonylpiperidine derivatives possessing unexpected properties over the prior art.

An inventive step cannot therefore be acknowledged, in the absence of comparative data showing that substantially all the claimed compounds have un unexpected property or improved activity with respect to the structurally closest prior art compounds, which is attributable to the distinguishing feature of the

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PC EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

PCT/GB 03/02959

invention.

Moreover the disclaimer of Claim 1 cannot be used to make a novel invention inventive, while the above-mentioned provisio excludes compounds from the same technical field and thereof it cannot be seen as "accidentally disclosure".

### 4. Industrial applicability (Article 33(4)PCT).

For the assessment of the present claim 11 on the question whether it is industrial applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may also allow, however, claims to a known compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.